Subdynamics Theory in the Functional Approach to Quantum Mechanics

Roberto Laura¹ and Rodolfo M. Id Betan¹

Received August 7, 1998

The formalism of subdynamics is extended to the functional approach to quantum systems and is used for the Friedrichs model in which diagonal singularities in states and observables are included. In this approach we compute the generalized eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the Liouville–von Neumann operator, using an iterative scheme. As complex generalized eigenvalues are obtained, the decay rates of unstable modes are included in the spectral decomposition.

1. INTRODUCTION

For quantum systems with continuous spectrum, the presence of resonances (small denominators) causes the failure of the usual perturbative methods for computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the time evolution generator. These difficulties have been considered as a manifestation of general limitations to computability for unstable dynamical systems [1, 2].

In the work of the Brussels and Austin groups on large Poincaré systems, we find an algorithm to overcome the problem of small denominators, which are eliminated by a "time ordering rule." This is a rule for the regularization of the perturbation terms, which can be interpreted as a generalized boundary condition where terms corresponding to excitation processes are past-oriented, while terms corresponding to the deexcitation and emission of radiation are future-oriented [1, 3].

The construction provides a new type of spectral decomposition of the Hamiltonian operator. For the Friedrichs model it was shown [1] that an appropriate mathematical framework for the time-ordering construction is

¹ Departamenteo de Física, F.C.E.I.A. Universidad Nacional de Rosario, and Instituto de Física Rosario, CONICET-UNR, Rosario, Argentina; e-mail: laura@ifir.ifir.edu.ar.

the theory of rigged Hilbert spaces of the Hardy class, formulated by Bohm and Gadella $[4-7]$.

For mixed states, the construction algorithm is a generalization of perturbation theory based on the subdynamics decomposition of the Liouville–von Neumann superoperator \mathbb{L} [2, 8]. Through a nonunitary transformation Ω , the superoperator $\mathbb L$ is transformed into an operator $\Theta = \Omega^{-1} \mathbb L \Omega$, which is block diagonal in the degrees of correlations. The perturbative method to obtain the spectral decomposition of the intermediate operator Θ is regularized by imposing the "time-ordering rules," which in this case means that conservation or increase of the degree of correlations is future-oriented, while decrease of correlations is past-oriented [9]. This prescription explicitly incorporates irreversibility into microscopic theories.

Usually, these perturbative algoritms are implemented in the so-called "box normalization," in which the quantum system is assumed to be included in a box with periodic boundary conditions, the size of the box becoming infinite at some stage of the calculations. To perform this limit, it is necessary to consider volume-dependent factors both for the diagonal components of the density operator and the observables. In this limit the recurrence time of the system is pushed to infinity.

The diagonal singularities of operators for large quantum system was discovered by Van Howe $[10-13]$. At the same time, Prigogine and co-workers $[14-17]$ emphasized the importance of states with diagonal singularity in non equilibrium statistical physics.

Based on the pioneering work of Segal [18], Antoniou *et al.* [19-21] developed a formalism for quantum systems with continuous spectrum without the box normalization.

The quantum states are *functionals* over a certain space of observables $\mathbb O$. Mathematically this means that the space $\mathscr G$ of states is contained in $\mathbb O^x$. Physically it means that the only thing we can really observe and measure are the mean values of the observables $O \in \mathbb{O}$ in states $\rho \in \mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{O}^{\mathbf{x}}$ $[\langle O \rangle_0 = (\rho | O)]$. This is the natural generalization of the usual trace of the product of the density operator by the observable $[Tr(\hat{p}\hat{O})]$, which is not well defined for systems with *continuous spectrum*.

In this paper, we extend the theory of subdynamics to the case of quantum systems with diagonal singularities where, as stated in refs. $19-21$], the states are considered asfunctionals acting on the space of observables. The extended formalism is applied to the Friedrichs model.

In Section 2 we summarize the functional approach to quantum mechanics. The theory of subdynamics [2, 8, 9] is summarized in Section 3 and it is extended by us to the functional approach. In Section 4 the extended formalism is applied to compute the generalized spectral decomposition and the time evolution for the Friedrichs model.

2. FUNCTIONAL APPROACH TO QUANTUM MECHANICS

In the usual approach to quantum mechanics, a pure state is represented by a normalized vector $|\psi\rangle$ of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The observables of the system are represented by self-adjoint operators acting on \mathcal{H} . The mean value of an observable represented by the operator *O* in a pure state represented by the vector $|\Psi\rangle$ is given by

$$
\langle O \rangle_{\Psi} = \langle \Psi | O | \Psi \rangle
$$

The time evolution of the state vector is given by the Schrödinger equation

$$
i\frac{d}{dt}|\Psi_t\rangle = H|\Psi_t\rangle,
$$

where the operator *H*: $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is the Hamiltonian operator of the system. The Schrödinger equation has the solution

$$
|\Psi_t\rangle = e^{-iHt}|\Psi_0\rangle
$$

Mixed states have no well-defined state vectors, but probabilities p_α (p_α) ≥ 0 , $\Sigma_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} = 1$) of being in the pure states represented by normalized vectors $|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle$. Therefore, the mean value of an observable *O* is given by

$$
\langle O \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | O | \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle
$$

The mixed state can be represented by the *density operator* $\hat{\rho} = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} |\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle$ $\langle \Psi_{\alpha} |$, having the following properties;

$$
\langle O \rangle = Tr(\hat{\rho}O), \qquad Tr(\hat{\rho}) = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} = 1
$$

As each vector $|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle$ evolves in time according to the Schrödinger equation, the time evolution of $\hat{\rho}$ is

$$
\hat{\rho}_t = e^{-iHt} \hat{\rho}_0 e^{iHt}
$$

and $\hat{\rho}_t$ satisfies the Liouville–von Neumann equation

$$
i\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\rho}_t = L\hat{\rho}_t \tag{1}
$$

$$
\hat{L\rho} \equiv [H, \hat{\rho}] \tag{2}
$$

In a more general approach, *the set of all possible observables of a quantum system is represented by an algebra* 2*, while the possible states are represented by a set* \mathcal{G} *of functionals acting on* \mathbb{O} ($\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{O}^{\mathbf{x}}$).

The mean value $\langle O \rangle_0$ of the observable *O* in the state ρ is given by the value of the functional ρ on *O*, which we denote by $(\rho|O)$,

$$
\langle O \rangle_{\rho} = (\rho | O)
$$

The last expression is antilinear in ρ and linear in O , i.e.,

$$
(\alpha_1 \rho_1 + \alpha_2 \rho_2 | O) = \alpha_1^*(\rho_1 | O) + \alpha_2^*(\rho_2 | O)
$$
\n(3)

$$
(\rho|\alpha_1 O_1 + \alpha_2 O_2) = \alpha_1(\rho|O_1) + \alpha_2(\rho|O_2)
$$
\n(4)

The algebra \odot is chosen to be an algebra of self-adjoint operators on the vector space H , and since the mean value of the observables should be a real number, we impose the following condition on the states:

$$
(\rho|O) = (\rho|O)^* \qquad \text{if} \quad O = O^{\dagger} \tag{5}
$$

The *generalization of the concept of trace* is

$$
Tr \rho = (\rho|I) = 1 \tag{6}
$$

where I is the identity operator in the algebra \mathbb{O} .

For the time evolution in Heisenberg representation, the states are time independent, while the observables evolve in time according to

$$
O_t = e^{iHt}Oe^{-iHt}
$$

The time evolution ρ_t of the states in Schrödinger representation can be obtained from

$$
\langle O \rangle_t = (\rho_t | O) = (\rho_0 | e^{iHt} O e^{-iHt})
$$

From the previous equation we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{d}{dt}\rho_t|O\right) = i(\rho_0|e^{iHt}[H, O] e^{-iHt}) = i(\rho_t|[H, O])
$$

Writing $\mathbf{L}^{\dagger}O \equiv [H, O]$ and omitting the observable *O* in the previous equation, we obtain the generalized Liouville–von Neumann equation

$$
-i\left(\frac{d}{dt}\rho_t\right) = (\rho_t | \mathbf{L}^\dagger)
$$
 (7)

To each superoperator $\mathbb M$ acting on $\mathcal G$ we can associate a corresponding adjoint superoperator \mathbb{M}^{\dagger} acting on $\mathbb O$ and vice versa, with the formula

$$
(\mathbb{M}\rho|O) = (\rho|\mathbb{M}^{\dagger}O) \tag{8}
$$

Using equation (8) with $M = \mathbb{L}$, and the antilinearity property (3), in equation (7), we obtain

$$
i\,\frac{d}{dt}\,\rho_t=\mathbb{L}\rho_t
$$

which is formally the same as equation (1). However, as ρ *is not an operator*, equation (2) is no longer valid. The extended Liouville–von Neumann operator $\mathbb L$ acting on $\mathscr G$ is now given by

$$
(\mathbb{L}\rho|O) = (\rho|\mathbb{L}^\dagger O) \equiv (\rho|[H, O]) \tag{9}
$$

3. THE FORMALISM OF SUBDYNAMICS

Let us consider a linear space of states \mathcal{G} , and a linear operator \mathbb{L} on \mathcal{G} which is the generator of the time evolution of the states, i.e.,

$$
i\frac{d}{dt}\rho_t = \mathbb{L}\rho_t, \qquad \rho_t \in \mathcal{G}
$$
 (10)

Let us assume that the operator $\mathbb L$ can be decomposed into

$$
\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}_0 + \mathbf{L}_1 \tag{11}
$$

where \mathbb{L}_0 and \mathbb{L}_1 are respectively called the "free" and "interaction" parts of **L**. It is also assumed that an interaction parameter λ is included in \mathbb{L}_1 to modulate the interaction.

Starting with the projector \mathbb{P}_0 on the invariant parts of the dynamics $(\mathbb{L}_0 \mathbb{P}_0 = \mathbb{P}_0 \mathbb{L}_0 = 0)$, projectors \mathbb{P}_n (*n* = 0, 1, ...) are defined in such a way that they satisfy

$$
\mathbb{P}_n \mathbb{P}_n = \delta_{nn}. \mathbb{P}_n, \qquad \mathbb{L}_0 \mathbb{P}_n = \mathbb{P}_n \mathbb{L}_0,
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{n=0} \mathbb{P}_n = \mathbb{I}, \qquad \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{L}_1)^n \mathbb{P}_0 = \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } n < m \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } n = m \end{cases}
$$
\n(12)

where $\mathbb I$ is the identity operator on $\mathcal G$. The last equation means that the transition from \mathbb{P}_{0} to \mathbb{P}_{m} is a process of *mth* order in the interaction parameter. The operator \mathbf{P}_m is called the *projection on the mth degree of correlation* .

The main idea of subdynamics is to decompose the states through projectors Π_n ($n = 0, 1, \ldots$) satisfying

$$
\Pi_n \Pi_n = \delta_{nn'} \Pi_n, \qquad \mathbb{L} \Pi_n = \Pi_n \mathbb{L}, \qquad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Pi_n = \mathbb{I}, \qquad \lim_{L_1 \to 0} \Pi_n = \mathbb{P}_n \qquad (13)
$$

i.e., projectors Π_n commuting with $\mathbb L$ which reduce to the projectors $\mathbb P_n$ on the degrees of correlation when the parameter of the interaction tends to zero.

Operators \mathbb{C}_n and \mathbb{D}_n , called creation and destruction of correlations, are defined by

$$
\mathbb{C}_n = \mathbb{Q}_n \mathbb{C}_n \mathbb{P}_n, \qquad \mathbb{Q}_n \Pi_n = \mathbb{C}_n \mathbb{P}_n \Pi_n
$$

$$
\mathbb{D}_n = \mathbb{P}_n \mathbb{D}_n \mathbb{Q}_n; \qquad \Pi_n \mathbb{Q}_n = \Pi_n \mathbb{P}_n \mathbb{D}_n
$$
 (14)

where $\mathbb{Q}_n = \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_n$. From equations (10) and (14) we obtain

$$
i\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{P}_n\Pi_n\rho) = \Theta_n\mathbf{P}_n\Pi_n\rho
$$
\n(15)

$$
\Theta_n = \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{L} \mathbf{P}_n + \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{L} \mathbf{C}_n \mathbf{P}_n \tag{16}
$$

$$
\Pi_n = (\mathbf{P}_n + \mathbf{C}_n)(\mathbf{P}_n + \mathbf{D}_n\mathbf{C}_n)^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_n + \mathbf{D}_n)
$$
 (17)

$$
[\mathbb{L}_0, \mathbb{P}_m \mathbb{C}_n] = (\mathbb{P}_m \mathbb{C}_n - \mathbb{P}_m) \mathbb{L}_1 (\mathbb{P}_n + \mathbb{C}_n)
$$
 (18)

$$
[\mathbb{L}_0, \mathbb{D}_n \mathbb{P}_m] = (\mathbb{P}_n + \mathbb{D}_n) \mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{P}_m - \mathbb{D}_n \mathbb{P}_m)
$$
(19)

The last two equations have the form $[L_0, X] = Y$, having the forward (backward) solutions

$$
\mathbf{X}^{\pm} = i \int_0^{\pm \infty} dt \ e^{-i \mathbf{L}_0 t} \mathbf{Y} \ e^{i \mathbf{L}_0 t}
$$

The following "time-ordering rule" is chosen: the $+ (-)$ sign is used for $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{P}_m \mathbb{C}_n$ with $m > n$ ($m < n$), and for $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{D}_n \mathbb{P}_m$ with $n > m$ ($n < m$). Therefore, the creation (destruction) of correlations, is future (past) oriented, i.e.,

$$
\mathbb{P}_m \mathbb{C}_n = i \int_0^{\pm \infty} dt \ e^{-i\mathbb{L}_0 t} (\mathbb{P}_m \mathbb{C}_n - \mathbb{P}_m) \mathbb{L}_1 (\mathbb{P}_n + \mathbb{C}_n) e^{i\mathbb{L}_0 t}, \qquad m \ge n
$$

$$
\mathbb{D}_n \mathbb{P}_m = i \int_0^{\pm \infty} dt \ e^{-i\mathbb{L}_0 t} (\mathbb{P}_n + \mathbb{D}_n) \mathbb{L}_1 (\mathbb{P}_m - \mathbb{D}_n \mathbb{P}_m) e^{i\mathbb{L}_0 t}, \qquad m \le n
$$
 (20)

The two previous equations can be solved iteratively to the required order in the interaction parameter, starting with the zeroth-order solutions

$$
\mathbb{C}_n^{(0)} = \mathbb{D}_n^{(0)} = 0
$$

Once \mathbb{C}_n and \mathbb{D}_n are obtained, the intermediate superoperators $\Theta_n =$ $\mathbf{P}_n\Theta_n\mathbf{P}_n$ can be computed using (16). The block diagonal superoperator $\Theta = \sum_{n} \Theta_n$ satisfies

$$
\mathbb{L} = \Omega \Theta \Omega^{-1}
$$

\n
$$
\Omega = \sum_{n} (\mathbb{P}_n + \mathbb{C}_n)
$$

\n
$$
\Omega^{-1} = \sum_{n} (\mathbb{P}_n + \mathbb{D}_n \mathbb{C}_n)^{-1} (\mathbb{P}_n + \mathbb{D}_n)
$$
\n(21)

and therefore it is isospectral with the Liouville–von Neumann operator \mathbb{L} . This property can be used to obtain the spectral decomposition of $\mathbb L$ in terms of the spectral decomposition of the superoperator Θ , with the same generalized eigenvalues.

The formalism of subdynamics originally stated on the space of density operators can be easily translated to the functional approach of quantum mechanics described in the previous section. All the formulas of this section are still valid, but we should remember that the superoperators are in this case defined on the space $\mathcal G$ of functionals.

It is operationally more convenient to rewrite all the previous equations for the corresponding adjoint superoperators, acting on the space of observables $\mathbb O$. This is easily done by using the adjoint relation

$$
(\alpha \mathbb{M} \mathbb{N} \rho | O) = (\rho | \alpha^* \mathbb{N}^\dagger \mathbb{M}^\dagger O)
$$

For example, equations (12) for the projections on the degrees of correlations are replaced by

$$
\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} = \delta_{nn} \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger}, \qquad \mathbf{L}_{0}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} \mathbf{L}_{0}^{\dagger},
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{n} \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{I}^{\dagger}, \qquad \mathbf{P}_{0}^{\dagger} \left(\mathbf{L}_{1}^{\dagger} \right)^{n} \mathbf{P}_{m}^{\dagger} = \begin{cases}\n= 0 & \text{if } n < m \\
\neq 0 & \text{if } n = m\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(22)

where \mathbb{I}^{\dagger} is the identity superoperator on \mathbb{O} .

Equations (20) for the creation and destruction of correlations transform into

$$
\mathbf{C}_{n}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{m}^{\dagger} = -i \int_{0}^{\pm \infty} dt \ e^{-i \mathbf{L}_{0}^{\dagger} t} \left(\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{C}_{n}^{\dagger} \right) \mathbf{L}_{1}^{\dagger} \left(\mathbf{C}_{n}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{m}^{\dagger} - \mathbf{P}_{m}^{\dagger} \right) \ e^{i \mathbf{L}_{0}^{\dagger} t}, \qquad m \ge n \quad (23)
$$

$$
\mathbf{P}_{m}^{\dagger}\mathbf{D}_{n}^{\dagger}=-i\int_{0}^{\pm\infty}dt\ e^{-i\mathbf{L}_{0}^{\dagger}t}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}^{\dagger}-\mathbf{P}_{m}^{\dagger}\mathbf{D}_{n}^{\dagger}\right)\mathbf{L}_{1}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger}+\mathbf{D}_{n}^{\dagger}\right)\ e^{i\mathbf{L}_{0}^{\dagger}t},\qquad m\leq n\ (24)
$$

where now

$$
\mathbf{\mathbb{C}}_n^{\dagger} = \mathbf{P}_n^{\dagger} \mathbf{\mathbb{C}}_n^{\dagger} \mathbf{\mathbb{Q}}_n^{\dagger}, \qquad \mathbf{D}_n^{\dagger} = \mathbf{\mathbb{Q}}_n^{\dagger} \mathbf{D}_n^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_n^{\dagger}, \qquad \mathbf{\mathbb{Q}}_n^{\dagger} = \mathbf{I}^{\dagger} - \mathbf{P}_n^{\dagger} \tag{25}
$$

Equations (23) and (24) can be written in a form which is more suitable for calculations. Let us consider the generalized left and right eigenvectors (α | and β) of \mathbb{L}_0^{\dagger} , having degrees of correlation n_{α} and n_{β} , i.e.,

$$
(\alpha!\mathbb{L}_0^{\dagger}=\omega_{\alpha}(\alpha),\qquad \mathbb{L}_0^{\dagger}|\beta)=\omega_{\beta}|\beta),\qquad (\alpha|=(\alpha!\mathbb{P}^{\dagger}_{n_{\alpha}},\qquad |\beta)=\mathbb{P}^{\dagger}_{n_{\beta}}|\beta)
$$

From equation (23) we obtain

$$
(\alpha | \mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger} | \beta) = -i \int_0^{\pm \infty} dt \, e^{i(\omega \beta - \omega_{\alpha})t} (\alpha | (\mathbb{P}_n^{\dagger} + \mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger}) \mathbb{L}_1^{\dagger} (\mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger} - \mathbb{Q}_n^{\dagger}) | \beta), \qquad n_{\beta} \ge n_{\alpha}
$$

If we use in the previous expression the identity

$$
\int_0^{\pm\infty} dt \, e^{ixt} = \frac{i}{x \pm i0}
$$

we obtain

$$
(\alpha|\mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger}|\beta) = \frac{1}{\omega_\beta - \omega_\alpha \pm i0} \left(\alpha | (\mathbb{P}_n^{\dagger} + \mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger}) \mathbb{L}_1^{\dagger} (\mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger} - \mathbb{Q}_n^{\dagger}) | \beta \right), \qquad n_\beta \ge n_\alpha
$$
\n(26)

In the same way we obtain

$$
(\alpha | \mathbb{D}_{n}^{\dagger} | \beta) = \frac{1}{\omega_{\beta} - \omega_{\alpha} \pm i0} \left(\alpha | (\mathbb{Q}_{n}^{\dagger} - \mathbb{D}_{n}^{\dagger}) \mathbb{L}_{1}^{\dagger} (\mathbb{P}_{n}^{\dagger} + \mathbb{D}_{n}^{\dagger}) | \beta \right), \qquad n_{\beta} \ge n_{\alpha}
$$
\n(27)

The intermediate operator Θ^{\dagger} is

$$
\Theta^{\dagger} = \sum_{n} \Theta_{n}^{\dagger}, \qquad \Theta_{n}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} \mathbf{L}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} \mathbf{C}_{n}^{\dagger} \mathbf{L}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger}
$$
 (28)

The intermediate operator Θ^{\dagger} is isospectral with \mathbb{L}^{\dagger} ,

$$
\mathbf{L}^{\dagger} = (\Omega^{\dagger})^{-1} \Theta^{\dagger} \Omega^{\dagger}
$$

\n
$$
\Omega^{\dagger} = \sum_{n} (\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{C}_{n}^{\dagger})
$$

\n
$$
(\Omega^{\dagger})^{-1} = \sum_{n}^{n} (\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{D}_{n}^{\dagger}) (\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{C}_{n}^{\dagger} \mathbf{D}_{n}^{\dagger})^{-1}
$$
\n(29)

For $(\mathbf{P}_n^{\dagger} + \mathbf{C}_n^{\dagger} \mathbf{D}_n^{\dagger})^{-1}$ we can use the following expansion:

$$
(\mathbb{P}_n^{\dagger} + \mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger} \mathbb{D}_n^{\dagger})^{-1} = \mathbb{P}_n^{\dagger} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j (\mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger} \mathbb{D}_n^{\dagger})^j
$$
(30)

The spectral decomposition of the intermediate operator Θ_n^{\dagger} , is a set of right (left) generalized eigenvectors $|\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}\rangle$ [($u_{n\alpha}$], satisfying

$$
(\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}|u_{m\beta}) = \delta_{nm}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad \Theta_n^{\dagger} = \sum_{\alpha} z_{n\alpha}|\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}) \ (u_{n\alpha}|, \qquad \mathbb{P}_n^{\dagger} = \sum_{\alpha}|\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}|u_{n\alpha}| \qquad (31)
$$

where α and β are discrete or continuous indexes. In the later case the sums

Subdynamics and Functional Approach to QM 173

in the previous expression should be replaced by integrals and the Kronecker by Dirac deltas.

The spectral decomposition of \mathbb{L}^{\dagger} can be obtained from the spectral decomposition of the intermediate operator Θ^{\dagger} . From equations (29) and (31) it follows that

$$
\mathbf{L}^{\dagger} = \sum_{n\alpha} z_{n\alpha} |f_{n\alpha}| \left(f_{n\alpha} \right) \tag{32}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{f_{n\alpha}} = (\Omega^{\dagger})^{-1} |\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}| \qquad (f_{n\alpha}] = (u_{n\alpha}|\Omega^{\dagger})
$$
(33)

The time evolution of a state functional governed by the generalized Liouville-von Neumann equation

$$
-i\frac{d}{dt}(\rho_l) = (\rho_l | \mathbf{L}^\dagger)
$$
 (34)

is given by

$$
(\rho_t] = \sum_{n\alpha} e^{iz_n\alpha t} (\rho_0 | \tilde{f}_{n\alpha}) (f_{n\alpha} | \qquad (35)
$$

3.1. Perturbative Solutions and $\lambda^2 t$ **Approximation**

If we replace the zeroth-the order approximation for \mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger} and \mathbb{D}_n^{\dagger} (i.e., $\mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger(0)} = \mathbb{D}_n^{\dagger(0)} = 0$ in the right-hand side of equations (26) and (27), we can obtain the first-order approximations:

$$
(\alpha | \mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger(1)} | \beta) = \frac{-1}{\omega_\beta - \omega_\alpha \pm i0} (\alpha | \mathbb{P}_n^{\dagger} \mathbb{L}_1^{\dagger} \mathbb{Q}_n^{\dagger} | \beta), \qquad n_\beta \ge n_\alpha \qquad (36)
$$

$$
(\alpha | \mathbf{D}_n^{\dagger(1)} | \beta) = \frac{1}{\omega_\beta - \omega_\alpha \pm i0} \left(\alpha | \mathbf{Q}_n^{\dagger} \mathbf{L}_1^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_n^{\dagger} | \beta \right), \qquad n_\beta \le n_\alpha \tag{37}
$$

With $\mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger(1)}$ and $\mathbb{D}_n^{\dagger(1)}$ it is possible to obtain the intermediate operators Θ_n^{\dagger} up to second order, using equation (28),

$$
\Theta_n^{\dagger(2)} = \mathbf{P}_n^{\dagger} \mathbf{L}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_n^{\dagger} + \mathbf{P}_n^{\dagger} \mathbf{C}_n^{\dagger(1)} \mathbf{L}_1^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_n^{\dagger}
$$
(38)

From equations (29), (30), (36), and (37), we can compute Ω^{\dagger} and $(\Omega^{\dagger})^{-1}$ up to first order

$$
\Omega^{\dagger(1)} = \sum_{n} (\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{C}_{n}^{\dagger(1)})
$$

$$
(\Omega^{\dagger})^{-1(1)} = \sum_{n} (\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{D}_{n}^{\dagger(1)})
$$
(39)

The first-order expressions for \mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger} and \mathbb{D}_n^{\dagger} given in equations (36) and (37) can be replaced in the right-hand side of equations (26) and (27) to obtain the next-order approximation. In this way, through the computation of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the intermediate operator Θ^{\dagger} , it is possible to obtain the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \mathbb{L}^{\dagger} as a power expansion in the interaction parameter

$$
z_{n\alpha} = z_{n\alpha}^{(0)} + z_{n\alpha}^{(1)} + z_{n\alpha}^{(2)} + \cdots
$$

\n
$$
|\tilde{f}_{n\alpha}^{(0)}| = |\tilde{f}_{n\alpha}^{(0)}| + |\tilde{f}_{n\alpha}^{(1)}| + |\tilde{f}_{n\alpha}^{(2)}| + \cdots
$$

\n
$$
(f_{n\alpha}] = (f_{n\alpha}^{(0)}| + (f_{n\alpha}^{(1)}| + (f_{n\alpha}^{(2)}| + \cdots
$$

\n(40)

Taking into account equations (33) and (39) relating the eigenvectors of Θ^{\dagger} and \mathbb{L}^{\dagger} , we obtain

$$
|\tilde{f}_{n\alpha}| = |\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}^{(0)}| + \mathbb{D}_{n}^{\dagger(1)}|\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}^{(0)}| + |\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}^{(1)}| + \cdots
$$

$$
(f_{n\alpha}| = (u_{n\alpha}^{(0)}| + (u_{n\alpha}^{(0)}| \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\dagger(1)} + (u_{n\alpha}^{(1)}| + \cdots)
$$
 (41)

Replacing (40) and (41) in (35), we obtain the following expression for the time evolution:

$$
\begin{aligned} (\rho_t^{\parallel} &= \sum_{n\alpha} \exp\left[i\left(z_{n\alpha}^{(0)} + z_{n\alpha}^{(1)} + z_{n\alpha}^{(2)} + \cdots\right)t\right] \\ &\times (\rho_0^{\parallel}[\hat{u}_{n\alpha}^{(0)})(u_{n\alpha}^{(0)}] + |\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}^{(0)})(u_{n\alpha}^{(0)}|\mathbb{C}_n^{\dagger(1)} \\ &+ \mathbb{D}_n^{\dagger(1)}[\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}^{(0)})(u_{n\alpha}^{(0)}] + |\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}^{(1)})(u_{n\alpha}^{(0)}| + |\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}^{(0)})(u_{n\alpha}^{(1)}| + \cdots] \end{aligned}
$$

If we omit first-order contributions coming from the eigenvectors and third-order contributions from the eigenvalues, the previous expression has the following approximated form:

$$
(\rho_t| \cong \sum_{n\alpha} \exp\left[i\left(z_{n\alpha}^{(0)} + z_{n\alpha}^{(1)} + z_{n\alpha}^{(2)}\right)t\right] (\rho_0|\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}^{(0)})(u_{n\alpha}^{(0)}) \tag{42}
$$

As we omitted first-order terms in the eigenvectors, a necessary condition for equation (42) to be valid is

$$
\lambda \ll 1 \tag{43}
$$

where λ is the interaction parameter. Moreover, as we considered the eigenvalues up to second order, a second condition involving the possible values of time is necessary for equation (42) to be valid

$$
\lambda^3 t < \lt 1 \tag{44}
$$

which together with (43) gives

$$
t \leq \lambda^{-2} \tag{45}
$$

In summary, the time evolution is given by equation (42) if the interaction is small ($\lambda \ll 1$) and the time is not too large ($t \le \lambda^{-2}$).

Conditions (43) and (45) appear in the literature as the $\lambda^2 t$ approximation."

4. FRIEDRICHS MODEL

4.1. Observables, States, and Degrees of Correlation

The Hamiltonian of the Friedrichs model is

$$
H = H_0 + V
$$

\n
$$
H_0 = m|1 \rangle \langle 1| + \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega |\omega \rangle \langle \omega|
$$
\n
$$
V = \int_0^\infty d\omega V_\omega (|\omega \rangle \langle 1| + |1 \rangle \langle \omega|)
$$
\n(46)

where the vectors $|1\rangle$ and $| \omega \rangle$ form a complete orthonormal set

$$
\langle 1|1 \rangle = 1, \qquad \langle 1|\omega \rangle = \langle \omega|1 \rangle = 0, \tag{47}
$$

$$
\langle \omega|\omega' \rangle = \delta(\omega - \omega'), \qquad I = |1\rangle\langle 1| + \int_0^\infty d\omega \, |\omega\rangle\langle\omega|
$$

Let us consider the following definitions

$$
|11 = |1 \rangle\langle 1|, \qquad |00 = |0 \rangle\langle 0|, \qquad |100 = |1 \rangle\langle 0|, \qquad (48)
$$

$$
|01| = |0\rangle\langle 1|, \qquad |000'\rangle = |0\rangle\langle 0'|
$$

Any element O belonging to the space of observables O can be written in terms of the operators defined in (48),

$$
|O| = O1|1) + \int d\omega O_{\omega}|\omega| + \int d\omega O_{1\omega}|1\omega|
$$

+
$$
\int d\omega O_{\omega 1}|\omega| + \int d\omega \int d\omega' O_{\omega \omega}|\omega\omega'
$$
 (49)

Notice that we explicitly included a diagonal singularity through the term $\int d\omega \ O_{\omega} |\omega|$.

176 Laura and Id Betan

We also consider the states as functionals acting on the operators. For this purpose it is convenient to define a set of functionals $(1), (\omega), (1\omega), (\omega1),$ and $(\omega \omega')$ with the following properties:

$$
(1|O) = O1, \t (ω|O) = Oω, \t (1ω|O) = O1ω,\t(ω1|O) = Oω1, \t (ωω'|O) = Oωω, \t(50)
$$

or equivalently

$$
(1|1) = 1, (1|\omega) = (1|\omega 1) = (1|1 \omega) = (1|\omega \omega') = 0
$$

\n
$$
(\omega|\omega') = \delta(\omega - \omega'), (\omega|1) = (\omega|1\omega') = (\omega|\omega'1) = (\omega|\omega'\omega'') = 0
$$

\n
$$
(1\omega|1\omega') = \delta(\omega - \omega'),
$$

\n
$$
(1\omega|1) = (1\omega|\omega') = (1\omega|\omega'1) = (1\omega|\omega'\omega'') = 0
$$

\n
$$
(\omega1|\omega'1) = \delta(\omega - \omega'), (\omega1|1) = (\omega1|\omega') = (\omega1|1\omega') = (\omega1|\omega'\omega'') = 0
$$

\n
$$
(\omega\omega'|\alpha\alpha') = \delta(\omega - \alpha)\delta(\omega' - \alpha'),
$$

\n
$$
(\omega\omega'|\alpha) = (\omega\omega'|\alpha) = (\omega\omega'|\alpha) = 0
$$

In terms of these functionals, we assume that any element (ρ) of the space of states $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{O}^x$ can be written as

$$
(\rho I = \rho_I^* (1I + \int d\omega \rho_{\omega}^* (\omega I + \int d\omega \rho_{\omega}^* (1\omega I + \int d\omega \rho_{\omega}^* (\omega II + \int d\omega \int d\omega' \rho_{\omega}^* (\omega \omega' I)
$$
\n
$$
(52)
$$

where

$$
\rho_{0}^{*} = \rho_{1}, \qquad \rho_{\omega}^{*} = \rho_{\omega}, \qquad \rho_{0}^{*} = \rho_{\omega 1}, \qquad \rho_{\omega \omega}^{*} = \rho_{\omega \omega} \qquad (53)
$$

$$
\rho_{1}^{*} + \int d\omega \rho_{\omega}^{*} = 1 \qquad (54)
$$

Equations (53) are the conditions for ρ to be a positive functional, while (54) is a consequence of the total probability condition $(\rho|I) = 1$. In what follows (ρ |*I*) will be called the *generalized trace* of the state ρ [$|I|$) = $|1|$) + $\int d\omega|\omega\rangle$ is the *identity operator* in \mathbb{C} .

By using the basis for states and observables defined through (48) and (50), we can also write

$$
\mathbb{L}_0^{\dagger} = \int d\omega \ (m - \omega) |1\omega\rangle (1\omega| + \int d\omega \ (\omega - m) |\omega 1\rangle (\omega 1| + \int d\omega \int d\omega' \ (\omega - \omega') |\omega \omega\rangle (\omega \omega' |
$$

$$
\mathbb{L}_1^{\dagger} = \int d\omega V_{\omega} [|\omega 1\rangle - |1\omega\rangle] (1| + \int d\omega V_{\omega} [1\omega\rangle - |\omega 1\rangle] (\omega| + \int d\omega [-V_{\omega}] 1\rangle + \int d\omega' V_{\omega} |\omega' \omega\rangle] (1\omega| + \int d\omega [V_{\omega}] 1\rangle - \int d\omega' V_{\omega} |\omega \omega\rangle] (\omega 1| + \int d\omega \int d\omega' [V_{\omega}] 1\omega\rangle - V_{\omega} |\omega 1\rangle] (\omega \omega' |
$$
 (55)

where \mathbf{L}_0^{\dagger} and \mathbf{L}_1^{\dagger} are the "free" and "interaction" parts of the Liouville–von Neumann operator acting on \mathbb{O} , i.e.,

$$
\mathbb{L}_0^{\dagger} O \equiv [H_0, O], \qquad \mathbb{L}_1^{\dagger} O \equiv [V, O], \qquad O \in \mathbb{C} \tag{56}
$$

The diagonal and off-diagonal projectors acting on $\mathbb O$ are defined by

$$
\mathbb{P}_0^{\dagger} = |1\rangle(1| + \int d\omega \, |\omega\rangle(\omega)
$$

$$
\mathbb{Q}_0^{\dagger} = \int d\omega \, |1\omega\rangle(1 \, \omega| + \int d\omega \, |\omega_1\rangle(\omega_1| + \int d\omega \, d\omega' \, |\omega_2\rangle)(\omega_2\rangle \qquad (57)
$$

The off-diagonal projector \mathbb{Q}_0^{\dagger} can be decomposed into

$$
\Phi_0^{\dagger} = \mathbb{P}_1^{\dagger} + \mathbb{P}_2^{\dagger}, \qquad \mathbb{P}_1^{\dagger} = \int d\omega \, |1\omega\rangle (1\omega l + \int d\omega \, |\omega 1\rangle (\omega 1 l),
$$
\n
$$
\mathbb{P}_2^{\dagger} = \int d\omega \int d\omega' \, |\omega \omega'\rangle (\omega \omega' l) \tag{58}
$$

 \mathbb{P}_0^{\dagger} , \mathbb{P}_1^{\dagger} , and \mathbb{P}_2^{\dagger} are the projectors corresponding to degrees of correlation zero, one, and two respectively, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbb{P}_0^{\dagger} \left(\mathbb{L}_1^{\dagger} \right)^0 \mathbb{P}_0^{\dagger} &= \mathbb{P}_0^{\dagger 2} \neq 0 \\
\mathbb{P}_0^{\dagger} \left(\mathbb{L}_1^{\dagger} \right)^0 \mathbb{P}_1^{\dagger} &= \mathbb{P}_0^{\dagger} \mathbb{P}_1^{\dagger} = 0, \qquad \mathbb{P}_0^{\dagger} \mathbb{L}_1^{\dagger} \mathbb{P}_1^{\dagger} \neq 0 \\
\mathbb{P}_0^{\dagger} \left(\mathbb{L}_1^{\dagger} \right)^0 \mathbb{P}_2^{\dagger} &= \mathbb{P}_0^{\dagger} \mathbb{P}_2^{\dagger} = 0, \qquad \mathbb{P}_0^{\dagger} \mathbb{L}_1^{\dagger} \mathbb{P}_2^{\dagger} = 0, \qquad \mathbb{P}_0^{\dagger} \left(\mathbb{L}_1^{\dagger} \right)^2 \mathbb{P}_2^{\dagger} \neq 0 \quad (59)\n\end{aligned}
$$

4.2. Creation, Destruction, and Intermediate Operators

Using equations (36) and (37) for the Friedrichs model, we obtain for the creation and destruction operators up to second order

$$
\mathfrak{C}_{0}^{\dagger(1)} = \int d\omega V_{\omega} \left[\frac{|1\rangle (1\omega|}{m - \omega + i0} - \frac{|1\rangle (\omega 1|}{\omega - m + i0} \right]
$$
\n
$$
\mathfrak{C}_{1}^{\dagger(1)} = \int d\omega V_{\omega} \left[\frac{|\omega 1\rangle [(1| - |\omega 1| - |\omega 1|)(1| - |\omega 1|)}{\omega - m + i0} \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ \int d\omega d\omega' \left[\frac{V_{\omega} |\omega 1\rangle (\omega \omega'|}{m - \omega' + i0} - \frac{V_{\omega} |\omega 1\rangle (\omega \omega'|}{\omega - m + i0} \right]
$$
\n
$$
\mathfrak{C}_{2}^{\dagger(1)} = \int d\omega d\omega' \left[\frac{V_{\omega}}{\omega' - m + i0} |\omega' \omega \rangle (1\omega| + \frac{V_{\omega}}{\omega' - m - i0} |\omega \omega') (\omega 1| \right]
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{D}_{0}^{\dagger(1)} = \int d\omega V_{\omega} \left[\frac{|\omega 0| (1| - |\omega 1| + |\omega 1|) (1| - |\omega 1|)}{m - \omega + i0} - \frac{|\omega 1| (\omega 1| - |\omega 1|)}{m - \omega - i0} \right]
$$
\n
$$
- \int d\omega V_{\omega} \left[\frac{|\omega 0| (\omega + \omega + \omega - \omega - \omega)}{m - \omega + i0} \right]
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{D}_{1}^{\dagger(1)} = \int d\omega V_{\omega} \left[\frac{|\omega 0| (1| - |\omega 1|)(1| \omega - \omega - \omega + \omega)}{\omega - m + i0} \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ \int d\omega d\omega' V_{\omega} \left[\frac{|\omega 0|}{m - \omega' + i0} - \frac{|\omega' 0|}{\omega' - m + i0} \right]
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{D}_{2}^{\dagger(1)} = \int d\omega d\omega' \left[\frac{V_{\omega} |\omega|}{\omega - m + i0} - \frac{V_{\omega} |\omega 1| (\omega \omega - \omega + \omega)}{\omega - m + i0} \right]
$$
\nThen, the intermediate operator Θ

equation (38),

$$
\Theta_0^{(2)} = 2\pi i V_m^2 |1| [(1 - (m)], \qquad (m] = (\omega|_{\omega = m})
$$

$$
\Theta_1^{(2)} = \int d\omega [m - \omega - \beta] |1 \omega)(1 \omega| + \int d\omega [\omega - m - \beta^*] |\omega 1| (\omega 1|
$$

$$
+\int d\omega d\omega' V_{\omega} V_{\omega} \left[\frac{|\ln \omega'(1) \omega|}{m - \omega + i0} + \frac{|\omega'(1) \omega(1)|}{m - \omega + i0} + \frac{|\omega'(1) \omega(1)|}{m - \omega' - i0} \right]
$$

$$
+\int d\omega d\omega' V_{\omega} V_{\omega} \left[\frac{|\omega'(1) \omega(1)|}{\omega' - m + i0} + \frac{|\omega(1) \omega(1)|}{\omega' - m + i0} + \frac{|\omega'(0) \omega(1)|}{\omega' - m - i0} \right]
$$

$$
\beta = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega V_{\omega}^{2}}{\omega - m + i0}, \qquad Re \beta = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega V_{\omega}^{2} P\left(\frac{1}{\omega - m}\right), \qquad Im \beta = -\pi \cdot V_{m}^{2}
$$

$$
\Theta_{2}^{\dagger(2)} = \int d\omega d\omega' (\omega' - \omega) |\omega \omega'(\omega \omega' |)
$$

$$
+\int d\omega d\omega' d\omega'' \left[\frac{V_{\omega} V_{\omega} |\omega'' \omega'(\omega \omega' |}{\omega'' - m + i0} - \frac{V_{\omega} V_{\omega} |\omega \omega''(\omega \omega' |}{\omega'' - m - i0} \right] \qquad (61)
$$

4.3. Generalized Spectral Decomposition and Time Evolution

From the explicit form of Θ_n^{\dagger} given up to second order in equations (61) for Friedrichs model, the generalized eigenvectors and eigenvalues can be computed up to zero and second order, respectively. The results are shown in Table I.

The generalized eigenvalues and eigenvectors given in the previous expression can be replaced in equation (42), to obtain the following time evolution:

$$
(\rho_t|1) \cong e^{-2\pi V_{m^t}^2} (\rho_0|1)
$$

\n
$$
(\rho_t|\omega) \cong (\rho_0|\omega) + [1 - e^{-2\pi V_{m^t}^2}] (\rho_0|1) \delta(\omega - m)
$$

\n
$$
(\rho_t|\omega) \cong e^{i(m-\omega-\beta)t} (\rho_0|\omega)
$$

\n
$$
(\rho_t|\omega) \cong e^{i(\omega - m - \beta^*)t} (\rho_0|\omega|)
$$

\n
$$
(\rho_t|\omega\omega') \cong e^{i(\omega - \omega^*)t} (\rho_0|\omega\omega')
$$

The first equation shows the decay of the discrete component $(\rho_t|1)$ of

$\Theta^{\dagger}{}_{n}$	$z_{n\alpha}$	$\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}$	$(u_{n\alpha}]$
Θ_0^*	$z_{\rm o} = 0$	$ \tilde{u}_\omega = \delta(\omega - m) 1\rangle + \omega\rangle$	$(u_{\omega}) = (\omega)$
Θî	$z_1 = 2\pi i V_m^2$ $z_{1\omega} = m - \omega - \beta$	$ \tilde{u}_1 = 1 $ $ \tilde{u}_{1\omega}\rangle = 1\omega\rangle$	$(u_1 = (1 - (\omega = m))$ $(u_{10}) = (10)$
	$z_{\omega 1} = \omega - m - \beta^*$	$ u_{\omega 1} = \omega 1 $	$ u_{\infty 1} = \infty 1 $
Θţ	$z_{\omega\omega} = \omega - \omega'$	$ \tilde{u}_{\omega\omega}\rangle = \omega\omega'\rangle$	$(u_{\infty} = (\omega \omega')$

Table I

the state, with a rate $2\pi V_m^2$. Simultaneously, there is a growing term in the continuous distribution (ρ_t lω), with a sharp peak for the energy $\omega = m$ of the decaying mode.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We extended the formalism of subdynamics to the functional approach to quantum mechanics, in which the states are represented by functionals acting on the operators representing observables.

The generalized spectral decomposition is obtained through an intermediate superoperator Θ^{\dagger} isospectral to the Liouville–von Neumann superoperator $\mathbb{L}^{\dagger}(\mathbb{L}^{\dagger} = \Omega^{\dagger} \Theta^{\dagger} \Omega^{-1 \dagger})$. The small denominators appearing in the perturbative expansions due to the continuous spectrum are regularized by the "*i* ε -rule" [a time ordering prescription in which the increase (decrease) of correlations is future (past) oriented]. Due to this time-ordering rule, Θ^{\dagger} and therefore \mathbb{L}^{\dagger} may have complex eigenvalues.

Considering eigenvalues up to second order and eigenvectors up to zero order, the time evolution is given by

$$
(\rho_t) \cong \sum_{n\alpha} \exp \left[i (z_{n\alpha}^{(0)} + z_{n\alpha}^{(1)} + z_{n\alpha}^{(2)}) t \right] (\rho_0 | \tilde{u}_{n\alpha}^{(0)})(u_{n\alpha}^{(0)} |
$$

where $|\tilde{u}_{n\alpha}^{(0)}\rangle$ and $(u_{n\alpha}^{(0)}|$ are generalized right and left eigenvectors of Θ_n^{\dagger} computed up to zero order. For the previous expression to be valid, it is necessary that the interaction parameter be small and the time not too large, i.e., $\lambda \leq 1$ and $t \leq \lambda^{-2}$.

When this procedure is applied to the Friedrichs model, we obtain

$$
(\rho_t|1) \cong e^{-2\pi V_{ml}^2}(\rho_0|1)
$$

\n
$$
(\rho_t|\omega) \cong (\rho_0|\omega) + [1 - e^{-2\pi V_{ml}^2}] (\rho_0|1) \delta(\omega - m)
$$

\n
$$
(\rho_t|1\omega) \cong e^{i(m-\omega-\beta)t}(\rho_0|1\omega)
$$

\n
$$
(\rho_t|\omega1) \cong e^{i(\omega-m-\beta^*)t}(\rho_0|\omega1)
$$

\n
$$
(\rho_t|\omega\omega') \cong e^{i(\omega-\omega)t}(\rho_0|\omega\omega')
$$

The first equation shows the decay of the discrete component $(\rho_t|1)$ of the state, with a rate $2\pi V_m^2$. Simultaneously, there is a growing term in the continuous distribution (ρ_t I₍ ω), with a sharp peak for the energy $\omega = m$ of the decaying mode.

It is interesting to note that both decaying and growing terms are purely exponential. This may appear at first sight as a contradiction with the wellknown Zeno and Khalfin effects, which are deviations from exponential decays for small and big times. However, the previous expressions are not

valid approximations for very big times. Moreover, the Zeno effect implies $(d/dt)(\rho_t|1)_{t=0}$. In our approximation, if we compute this derivative, we obtain that it is of second order in the interaction parameter. As we neglected this order in the approximation, this result does not contradict the Zeno effect.

In spite of the fact that the complex spectral decomposition can be obtained analytically for the Friedrichs model $[22-24]$, this paper shows that this approach is potentially suitable to deal with more complicated decaying processes, where it is impossible to obtain exact solutions

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by Grant No. CI1-CT94-0004 of the European Community, Grant No. PID-0150 of CONICET (National Research Council of Argentina), Grant No. EX-198 of Buenos Aires University, Grant No. 12217/1 of Fundación Antorchas, and also a grant from the Foundation pour la Recherche Foundamentale OLAM.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Antoniou and I. Prigogine, (1993) . *Physica A* **192**, 443–464.
- [2] T. Petrosky and I. Prigogine, (1988). *Physica A* **147**, 439.
- [3] T. Petrosky, I. Prigogine, and S. Tasaki, (1991). *Physica A.* **173**, 175.
- [4] A. Bohm, In *Springer Lecture Notes in Physics*, No. 94, Springer, Berlin (1978), p. 245.
- [5] A. Bohm, (1979). *Lett. Math. Phys.* **3**, 455.
- [6] A. Bohm, (1986). *Quantum Theory, Foundations and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [7] A. Bohm and M. Gadella, (1989). *Dirac Kets, Gamov Vectors and Gel' fand Triplets*, Springer, Berlin.
- [8] T. Petrosky and H. Hasegawa, (1989). *Physica A* **160**, 351.
- [9] I. Antoniou and S. Tasaki, (1993). *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 46, 425-474.
- [10] L. van Hove, (1955). *Physica* 21, 901-923.
- [11] L. van Hove, (1956). *Physica* 22, 343-354.
- [12] L. van Hove, (1957). *Physica* **23**, 441-480.
- [13] L. van Hove, (1959). *Physica* **25**, 268-276.
- [14] R. Brout and I. Prigogine, (1956). *Physica* 22, 621-636.
- [15] I. Prigogine and R. Balescu, (1959). *Physica* 22, 281-301.
- [16] J. Philippot, (1961). *Physica* 27, 490-496.
- [17] I. Prigogine, (1962). *Non-equilibrium statistical mechanics*, Wiley, New York.
- [18] I. E. Segal, (1947). *Ann. Math.* 48, 930-948.
- [19] I. Antoniou and Z. Suchanecki, (1995). In *Nonlinear, Deformed and Irreversible Quantum Systems*, H. D. Doebner *et al.*, eds., World Scientific, Singapore.
- [20] I. Antoniou and Z. Suchanecki, (1994). *Found. Phys.* **24**, 1439-1457.
- [21] I. Antoniou Z. Suchanecki, R. Laura, and S. Tasaki, (1997). *Physica A* **241**, 737±772.
- [22] E. C. G. Sudarshan, C. B. Chiu, and V. Gorini, (1978). *Phys. Rev. D* 18, 2914-2929.
- [23] M. Castagnino and R. Laura, (1997). *Phys. Rev. A* 56, 108-119.
- [24] R. Laura and M. Castagnino, (1998). *Phys. Rev. A* 57, 4140-4152.